

1 Paula D. Pearlman (State Bar No. 109038)
2 Paula.Pearlman@lls.edu
3 Shawna L. Parks (State Bar No. 208301)
4 Shawna.Parks@lls.edu
5 Tiffany A. Green (State Bar No. 243573)
6 Tiffany.Green@lls.edu
DISABILITY RIGHTS LEGAL CENTER
919 Albany Street
Los Angeles, California 90015
Tel: (213) 736-1031; Fax: (213) 736-1428

Attorneys for Plaintiffs (continued on next page)

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

PETER JOHNSON, DONALD
PETERSON and MICHAEL
CURFMAN, on behalf of themselves
and all others similarly situated.

Plaintiffs.

45

LOS ANGELES COUNTY
SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, a public
entity; LEROY BACA, as Sheriff of
the County of Los Angeles, and
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, a
public entity; MICHAEL D.
ANTONOVICH, YVONNE B.
BURKE, DON KNABE, GLORIA
MOLINA, ZEV YAROSLAVSKY, as
Supervisors of the County of Los
Angeles.

Defendants.

Case No.: CW 08-03515

**COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY
AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF FOR
VIOLATIONS OF:**

1. Americans with Disabilities Act (42 U.S.C. § 12131 *et seq.*)
 2. Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. § 794 *et seq.*)
 3. California Government Code Section 11135, *et seq.*
 4. Unruh Civil Rights Act (Cal. Civil Code §51, *et seq.*)
 5. Blind and Other Physically Disabled Persons Act (Cal. Civil Code § 54, *et seq.*)
 6. California Government Code § 4450, *et seq.*
 7. Eighth Amendment (42 U.S.C. § 1983)
 8. Fourteenth Amendment (42 U.S.C. § 1983)

CLASS ACTION

1 Mark Rosenbaum (State Bar No. 59940)
2 mrosenbaum@aclu-sc.org
3 Melinda Bird (State Bar No. 102236)
mbird@aclu-sc.org
4 ACLU FOUNDATION OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
1616 Beverly Boulevard
Los Angeles, California 90026
5 Tel: (213) 977-9500 Fax: (213) 250-3980

6 Dan Stormer (State Bar No. 101967)
dstormer@hskrr.com
7 C. Virginia Keeny (State Bar No. 139568)
vkeeny@hskrr.com
8 Lauren Teukolsky (State Bar No. 211381)
Lauren@hskrr.com
9 Cornelia Dai (State Bar No. 207435)
cdai@hskrr.com
10 Hadsell, Stormer, Keeny, Richardson & Renick, LLP
128 N. Fair Oaks Avenue, Suite 204
11 Pasadena, California 91103
12 Tel: (626) 585-9600; Fax: (626) 577-7079

13 John C. Ulin (State Bar No. 165524)
john.ulin@hellerehrman.com
14 Helen Cho Eckert (State Bar No. 240531)
Helen.Eckert@hellerehrman.com
15 Amanda N. Walker (State Bar No. 252380)
Amanda.Walker@hellerehrman.com
16 HELLER EHRRMAN LLP
333 South Hope Street
17 39th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071-1406
18 Tel: (213) 689-0200
Fax: (213) 614-1868
19

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1 1. The first two claims alleged herein arise under the Americans with Disabilities
2 Act (42 U.S.C. §§ 12131 et seq.) ("ADA"), and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of
3 1973 (29 U.S.C. §794 et seq.) ("Section 504"), such that the jurisdiction of this Court is
4 invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1333. Through the same actions and
5 omissions that form the basis of Plaintiffs' federal claims, Defendants have also violated
6 Plaintiffs' rights under state law, over which this Court has supplemental jurisdiction
7 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1337. This Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' claims for
8 declaratory and injunctive relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202 and Rule 65 of
9 the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

10 2. Venue over Plaintiffs' claims is proper in the Central District of California
11 because Defendants reside in the Central District of California within the meaning of 28
12 U.S.C. § 1331, and because the events, acts, and omissions giving rise to Plaintiffs'
13 claims occurred in the Central District of California.

INTRODUCTION

14 3. This lawsuit is brought to address systemic and pervasive discrimination against
15 people with disabilities in the Los Angeles County Jail system. Despite the acute need
16 for accommodations and physical access within the jail environment, the County of Los
17 Angeles and Los Angeles Sheriff's Department currently fail to provide any legally
18 acceptable level of access, services or accommodations for people with disabilities in the
19 jails.

20 4. Instead, people with disabilities in the Los Angeles County jails are denied
21 accommodations or provided inadequate accommodations, inappropriately segregated,
22 excluded from jail programs and services, and subjected to multiple and pervasive
23 physical access barriers throughout the facilities. The result is a system that imposes
24 some of the worst conditions on people with disabilities while at the same time excluding
25 them from the most beneficial of programs within the jail. These conditions have dire
26 consequences for people with disabilities.

1 5. From the time they are processed at the jail, people with disabilities face myriad
2 discriminatory conditions. For example, at the Inmate Reception Center ("IRC") people
3 with disabilities sit in their own feces for hours or days because there is no accessible
4 bathroom available. Even though everyone entering the jail system passes through the
5 IRC, and many remain there for days, there is not a single accessible toilet at this facility.

6 6. Once they are housed, the discriminatory conditions continue. People with
7 disabilities are housed in windowless, decaying facilities that are among the worst in the
8 jail system. Most people with disabilities are kept in their cells 24 hours per day, other
9 than occasional trips to the shower. Inmates with disabilities are regularly denied outdoor
10 exercise, or any exercise at all, for months on end. Physical therapy is not provided to
11 people with disabilities at the jail, no matter how great the need.

12 7. People with disabilities are also explicitly denied the opportunity to be trustees,
13 a valued position within the jail. They are also prohibited from participating in most jail
14 programs, including vocational programs, because people with disabilities are housed in
15 segregated settings that do not provide these services.

16 8. Even when housed in units purportedly designated for people with disabilities,
17 inmates face pervasive architectural barriers. Many of these units lack required access
18 features, such as grab bars in showers or toilets. As a result, many people with
19 disabilities have fallen and injured themselves while simply trying to take a shower or
20 use the toilet.

21 9. Others have had their wheelchairs taken away or are denied the use of a
22 wheelchair despite their obvious or documented need for one. At best, these inmates use
23 dangerously inadequate alternatives, such as walkers, in an attempt to move around. At
24 worst, inmates must deal with excruciating pain when attempting to walk, crawl on the
25 floor, or simply stay in bed for days, weeks or even months while they are incarcerated.

26 10. Those who do receive wheelchairs or other equipment are often given
27 equipment that is in a dangerous state of disrepair. For example, many of the wheelchairs
28 provided within Los Angeles County Jails lack footrests. As a result, inmates' feet drag

1 on the ground, causing them to bruise and making it extremely difficult and dangerous to
2 move to or from the wheelchair. In an attempt to avoid this dangerous situation, those
3 who use these wheelchairs often tie plastic bags across the bottom as a makeshift footrest
4 in an effort to gain leverage and balance.

5 11. People with disabilities are also subjected to dangerous and difficult conditions
6 when they are transported by the Sheriff's Department to and from the jails. People with
7 disabilities often have their mobility aids taken away when boarding buses and must
8 attempt to steady themselves for fear of falling. Inmates who use wheelchairs are often
9 placed on buses with insufficient space for their wheelchairs. As a result, they must
10 attempt to transfer on to benches without assistance, where they are neither restrained nor
11 secured. In many of these situations, inmates with disabilities fall or nearly fall simply
12 attempting to ride the jail's buses.

13 12. People with disabilities who rely on medication or other medical supplies, such
14 as catheters, to address their disability needs find that provision of such supplies within
15 the jails is inconsistent at best and nonexistent at worst. Similarly, requested
16 accommodations, such as an extra set of sheets for someone whose disability causes
17 bladder control problems or a bottom bunk assignment for someone with a seizure
18 disorder, are routinely denied. The result is that inmates' conditions become dangerously
19 out of control or exacerbated by lack of necessary supplies and accommodations.

20 13. These, and many other conditions, result in a system that violates the
21 fundamental rights of people with disabilities within the Los Angeles County Jail system.
22 Plaintiffs thus initiate this suit under Title II of the American with Disabilities Act, 42
23 U.S.C. 12131, *et seq.* (the "ADA"), Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act, 29 U.S.C. §
24 794 (the "Rehabilitation Act"), as well as analogous state statutes alleging that
25 Defendants discriminate against Plaintiffs based on their disabilities, and that Defendants
26 maintain, operate, and control the Los Angeles County Jail System in a manner that
27 violates the rights of people with disabilities under state and federal nondiscrimination
28 statutes.

1 14. The County's actions and inactions also clearly demonstrate a deliberate
2 indifference to the needs and rights of people with disabilities within the Los Angeles
3 County jails in violation of their Eighth and Fourteenth Amendment rights. Plaintiffs
4 thus also seek relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

5 15. Plaintiffs seek declaratory and injunctive relief pursuant to the above statutes, as
6 well as an award of attorneys' fees and costs under applicable law.

PARTIES

8 16. Plaintiffs are people with disabilities who are housed within Los Angeles
9 County Jail facilities. They bring this lawsuit on behalf of themselves and all present and
10 future inmates and detainees who are or will be housed in the Los Angeles County Jail
11 system, and who, because of their disabilities, have been or will be discriminated against.

12 17. Plaintiff Peter Johnson is paraplegic. Plaintiff Johnson is a "qualified person
13 with a disability" within the meaning of all applicable statutes including 42 U.S.C.
14 §12131(2), 29 U.S.C. §705(20)(B), and California Government Code § 12926.

15 18. Plaintiff Donald Peterson has diabetes and a significant mobility disability,
16 stemming from the loss of feeling in his right leg and severe pain and substantial
17 mobility limitations in his right arm. Plaintiff Peterson is a “qualified person with a
18 disability” within the meaning of all applicable statutes including 42 U.S.C. §12131(2),
19 29 U.S.C. §705(20)(B), and California Government Code § 12926.

19. Plaintiff Michael Curfman has partial paralysis and traumatic brain injury that
20 causes, among other things, a substantial mobility limitation. Plaintiff Curfman is a
21 "qualified person with a disability" within the meaning of all applicable statutes
22 including 42 U.S.C. §12131(2), 29 U.S.C. §705(20)(B), and California Government
23 Code § 12926.
24

25 20. The Plaintiff class consists of all detainees and inmates with physical
26 disabilities and disabling medical conditions who because of those disabilities need
27 appropriate accommodations, modifications, services, and/or physical access in
28 accordance with federal and state disability laws.

1 21. Hereafter, references to Plaintiffs shall be deemed to include the named
2 Plaintiffs and each member of the class, unless otherwise indicated.

3 22. Defendant County of Los Angeles (“County”) is a local government entity
4 within the meaning of the Title II of the ADA, and receives federal funding within the
5 meaning of the Rehabilitation Act. The County is responsible for providing the jail
6 facilities and for funding the construction and operations of the Los Angeles jail
7 facilities, including funding to and oversight of Defendant Los Angeles Sheriff’s
8 Department (“LASD”). The County also promulgates policies and procedures at these
9 facilities.

10 23. Defendant LASD is a local government entity created under the laws of the state
11 of California, and an agency of Defendant County. The LASD is responsible for
12 operating the Los Angeles County Jail facilities, including promulgating policies and
13 procedures at those facilities.

14 24. Defendants LASD and County are “persons” subject to suit within the meaning
15 of 42 U.S.C. § 1983 under *Monell v. New York Department of Social Services*, 436 U.S.
16 658, 691 (1978). Under California Government Code § 815(a), these Defendants are
17 liable for any and all wrongful acts in violation of state law hereinafter complained of
18 and committed by any of these Defendants’ employees acting within the course and scope
19 of their employment.

20 25. Presently, and at all times relevant to this complaint, Defendants County and
21 LASD have been public entities within the meaning of Title II of the ADA and have
22 received federal financial assistance within the meaning of the Rehabilitation Act, and
23 state financial assistance within the meaning of Government Code Section 11135.

24 26. Defendant Leroy Baca is the Sheriff of Los Angeles County. He is an elected
25 official of the County and responsible for oversight of Defendant LASD and
26 implementation of its policy. Plaintiffs name Defendant Baca in his official capacity
27 only.

28 27. Defendant Michael D. Antonovich is a Supervisor of Los Angeles County. He is

1 an elected official of the County and responsible for oversight of the County and
2 implementation of its policy. Plaintiffs name Defendant Antonovich in his official
3 capacity only.

4 28. Defendant Yvonne B. Burke is a Supervisor of Los Angeles County. She is an
5 elected official of the County and responsible for oversight of the County and
6 implementation of its policy. Plaintiffs name Defendant Burke in her official capacity
7 only.

8 29. Defendant Don Knabe is a Supervisor of Los Angeles County. He is an elected
9 official of the County and responsible for oversight of the County and implementation of
10 its policy. Plaintiffs name Defendant Knabe in his official capacity only.

11 30. Defendant Gloria Molina is a Supervisor of Los Angeles County. She is an
12 elected official of the County and responsible for oversight of the County and
13 implementation of its policy. Plaintiffs name Defendant Molina in her official capacity
14 only.

15 31. Defendant Zev Yaroslavsky is a Supervisor of Los Angeles County. He is an
16 elected official of the County and responsible for oversight of the County and
17 implementation of its policy. Plaintiffs name Defendant Yaroslavsky in his official
18 capacity only.

19 32. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that each defendant was
20 the agent and employee of every other defendant and was at all times acting within the
21 scope of such agency.

22 33. Hereafter, references to Defendants shall be deemed to include all named
23 Defendants, unless otherwise indicated.

24 **FACTS APPLICABLE TO ALL CLAIMS**

25 34. The Los Angeles County Jail system is the largest in the nation, processing
26 more than 180,000 people per year. The system is comprised of a number of facilities,
27 including Men's Central Jail, Twin Towers and the Inmate Reception Center which are
28 located in downtown Los Angeles, the North County Correctional Facility and Pitchess

1 Detention Center both located in Northern Los Angeles County, and the Central
2 Regional Detention Facility, located in Lynwood. The entire County jail system houses
3 approximately 19,000 people at any given time.

4 35. Throughout the Los Angeles County Jail system there are significant problems
5 for people with disabilities with respect to classification, housing, access to programs
6 and services, and physical access barriers. Defendants systemically fail to effectively
7 evaluate the needs of people with disabilities within the jails, and to meet those needs
8 through appropriate accommodations and physical access.

9 36. Systemic issues include, but are not limited to, failure to appropriately identify
10 people with disabilities in need of accommodations and services, resulting in
11 inappropriate placement and/or classification of people with disabilities; failure to
12 provide appropriate mobility aids, such as wheelchairs, walkers and crutches; failure to
13 accommodate the needs of people with disabilities, including by failing to establish
14 reliable methods for medication, medical supplies and other disability accommodations;
15 failure to modify policies and procedures for people with disabilities; failure to remove
16 multiple and pervasive architectural barriers throughout the jails; failure to allow people
17 with disabilities access to vocational, educational and other programs and services;
18 failure to provide safe and accessible transportation for people with disabilities; failure to
19 have an emergency and/or evacuation policy for people with disabilities; and failure to
20 provide an effective complaint procedure for disability related complaints.

21 37. These violations result from a number of pervasive problems, including, but not
22 limited to the following:

- 23 a. There is no comprehensive set of policies or procedures for identifying
24 inmates with disabilities and determining appropriate accommodations,
25 modifications or services for these individuals;
- 26 b. Housing and classification decisions are made based on stereotypes and
27 misconceptions, and in an extremely cursory manner, relying mainly on the
28 simplest and quickest observations by staff;

- 1 c. This classification system results in, among other things, a failure to
- 2 recognize that persons who do not rely exclusively on wheelchairs may have
- 3 significant physical restrictions requiring accommodations;
- 4 d. People who are identified as having disabilities are housed in segregated
- 5 facilities that provide no access to the programs and services available in the
- 6 L.A. County Jail system;
- 7 e. People who are not identified as having disabilities, but who nevertheless
- 8 have disabilities, are placed in units and are subject to procedures that fail to
- 9 provide necessary accommodations and services;
- 10 f. These facilities in which people identified as having disabilities are housed
- 11 are often those with the poorest conditions, including broken plumbing, lack
- 12 of any natural light and limited access to outdoor recreation, and are replete
- 13 with myriad architectural barriers;
- 14 g. Architectural barriers are also pervasive throughout the rest of the jail
- 15 system. This includes a failure to make housing and programming accessible
- 16 to people with disabilities, as well as a failure to provide emergency
- 17 evacuation plans for people with disabilities.

18 38. The above failings, in combination with erroneous assumptions about the
19 capabilities and legal rights of people with disabilities, ensure that the entire population
20 of people with disabilities has little to no access to the vast majority of programs and
21 services of the L.A. County Jail System.

22 39. This discrimination has a dramatic impact on people with disabilities within the
23 jails as represented by the experiences of the named Plaintiffs in this matter.

24 40. Plaintiff Peter Johnson has paraplegia. He has been in Los Angeles County jail
25 since October of 2007. Plaintiff Johnson has had a number of disability related
26 problems, including but not limited to denial of accommodations, physical access,
27 mobility aids and access to programs at the jail.

28 41. Upon entry into the jail system Plaintiff Johnson was brought into the IRC.

1 Plaintiff Johnson, who uses a wheelchair, was left in the IRC for approximately 8-10
2 hours despite the fact that there is no accessible bathroom in the IRC. As a result, he
3 soiled himself, and was left sitting in his own feces for approximately 6-8 hours.

4 42. Plaintiff Johnson also could not use the drinking fountains or phones in the IRC
5 because they are not accessible. Plaintiff Johnson tried to use an inaccessible phone to
6 make a phone call by attempting to transfer to a bench next to the phone. However, he
7 fell off the bench and onto the floor in that attempt.

8 43. At the IRC Plaintiff Johnson's wheelchair was taken away and replaced with a
9 jail issued chair. The jail wheelchair he was given had numerous problems. The
10 wheelchair made it difficult for Plaintiff Johnson to transfer to the toilet, and also lacked
11 footrests. As a result, Plaintiff Johnson's feet dragged on the floor. The wheelchair would
12 also flip forward if Plaintiff Johnson did not carefully maintain his balance.

13 44. Approximately three weeks later the jail returned Plaintiff Johnson's original
14 chair to him but insisted on replacing the wheels with jail issued wheels. However, these
15 new wheels did not fit his wheelchair. As a result, on Plaintiff Johnson's next court trip
16 one of the wheels fell off and he fell out of the chair injuring himself.

17 45. Since being assigned housing, Plaintiff Johnson has been housed in what is
18 referred to as the 8100 block at Men's Central Jail, which is the unit allegedly designated
19 for wheelchair users. This unit receives little, if any, outdoor exercise. In the nearly seven
20 months that Plaintiff Johnson has been in this unit, he has only been allowed outside for
21 exercise on two occasions that he can remember.

22 46. Other than those two times that he went to the roof for exercise, the only time
23 that Plaintiff Johnson has been let outside is to go to court. Other than those events, and
24 Sunday visits to the jail chapel, Plaintiff Johnson remains in his jail cell twenty-four
25 hours per day seven days per week.

26 47. Even though he is housed in the unit allegedly designated for wheelchair access,
27 Plaintiff Johnson faces a number of architectural barriers. In one cell, there was a lip at
28 the shower intended to keep water in the shower area. However, this lip also keeps

1 wheelchairs out. In attempting to maneuver over this barrier, Plaintiff Johnson fell and
2 hurt himself. In another cell, the grab bar at the toilet was broken, and Plaintiff Johnson
3 fell on multiple occasions attempting to use the toilet.

4 48. Plaintiff Johnson also is not allowed to shower when he soils himself, which on
5 occasion happens when the jail food disagrees with his stomach. Even though he is
6 supposed to be allowed to shower more frequently, he often goes many days at a time
7 without a shower. Even after he has an accident deputies will not allow him to shower.
8 As a result, he must often sit in his own feces for many days at a time.

9 49. Plaintiff Donald Peterson, a veteran of the United States military, has diabetes
10 and a significant mobility limitation. Plaintiff Peterson has no feeling in his right leg
11 going all the way up to his mid-torso. He also has chronic pain in his right arm such that
12 he cannot make a fist with his hand. The loss of mobility in his right side makes it
13 difficult for him to walk and balance unaided. He needs either crutches or a wheelchair
14 for mobility. Plaintiff Peterson has had a number of disability related problems, including
15 but not limited to denial of accommodations, physical access, mobility aids and
16 medication for his disability.

17 50. Plaintiff Peterson has been in Los Angeles County jail for approximately four
18 months. When he first arrived at the jail he was provided a wheelchair and placed in the
19 8100 block. While on that floor, other than church on Sunday, he spent nearly all of his
20 time in his cell. He was never allowed to the roof for exercise, and never participated in
21 any vocational or educational programs.

22 51. Approximately three months after his arrival, a deputy moved Plaintiff Peterson
23 to the 6050 unit, which is the unit at Men's Central Jail allegedly designated for people
24 with walkers or crutches. At that time his wheelchair was taken away and he was given a
25 walker. He had never used a walker before. As a result, he fell over when he tried to use
26 the walker.

27 52. Despite his disability, Plaintiff Peterson was given a top bunk in the 6050 unit.
28 It was very difficult for him to get up and down from the top bunk. The deputies also

1 took away his catheter bag which Plaintiff Peterson used to urinate at night without
2 getting out of bed. Without the catheter bag Plaintiff Peterson had either to get out of his
3 top bunk or wet the bed. Plaintiff Peterson has fallen a number of times trying to get out
4 of his top bunk when needing to go to the bathroom.

5 53. After a few days in 6050 Plaintiff Peterson was moved again, this time to the
6 general population. At that time the deputies took away his walker and he was not
7 provided any other mobility aid. In general population he fell down three times and had
8 to drag himself around and hold onto the walls when he attempted to move. After three
9 days he was finally provided with a pair of crutches. After receiving the crutches he was
10 moved back to 6050.

11 54. Plaintiff Peterson also has no teeth, in part due to his diabetes, and does not
12 have his dentures in the jail. He is supposed to be on a soft diet, meaning his food is
13 mashed up, but he is only provided regular food. Plaintiff Peterson tries to make food
14 soft enough by swishing it around with water in his mouth.

15 55. Plaintiff Peterson takes medication to control his blood sugar levels as a result
16 of his diabetes. This medication is not provided regularly at the jail, and has gone as
17 many as three days without his medication. His blood sugar is also not regularly
18 screened. In the approximately four months he has been in jail his blood sugar has been
19 screened only approximately twelve times.

20 56. Plaintiff Michael Curfman has partial paralysis and traumatic brain injury. He
21 has significant difficulty with walking, balance and stability due to his disability. He has
22 been in Los Angeles County jail since January of 2007, and has been at Men's Central
23 Jail for approximately the last nine or ten months. Plaintiff Curfman has had a number of
24 disability related problems, including but not limited to, denial of accommodations and
25 mobility aids for his disability, and pervasive architectural barriers.

26 57. Prior to residing at Men's Central, Plaintiff Curfman was at Twin Towers in the
27 medical unit where he was provided a wheelchair for mobility. When he was moved to
28 Men's Central Plaintiff Curfman's wheelchair was taken away despite his partial

1 paralysis and traumatic brain injury.

2 58. Upon moving to Men's Central Plaintiff Curfman was initially denied the use of
3 any mobility aid, including a walker. This made standing or walking extremely difficult
4 for him. Even when he was eventually provided a walker, the walker had a broken tip
5 causing it to be extremely unsteady.

6 59. The toilet in Plaintiff Curfman's unit has no grab bars for accessibility. As a
7 result, Plaintiff Curfman must attempt to steady himself by attempting to hold on to the
8 wall or the sink. Similarly, Plaintiff Curfman's shower has no grab bars. As a result, it is
9 very difficult for him to steady himself while taking a shower. On at least one occasion
10 he has fallen while showering.

11 60. Plaintiff Curfman has asked for a wheelchair to assist in his mobility but has
12 been told that because he can stand he cannot have a wheelchair. Plaintiff Curfman, who
13 developed his disabilities shortly before being admitted to the jail, and who has now been
14 in jail for more than sixteen months, receives no physical therapy to improve his mobility
15 or his speech. As a result, he has attempted to learn how to walk and speak again on his
16 own.

17 61. As a result of his disability Plaintiff Curfman has a hard time controlling his
18 bladder. Because of his bladder control problems, he has accidents in his bed but is not
19 allowed to replace his soiled sheets or soiled clothes. He is not allowed to shower if it is
20 not his turn. If he has an accident and soils himself he is still required to wait for his turn
21 to shower. As a result, he may wait for more than a day in his soiled clothes. He also
22 cannot get new sheets when his sheets are soiled. When he asks a deputy for a fresh set
23 of sheets to replace the soiled set he is told he cannot have any. He sometimes has to use
24 soiled sheets for almost a week before they are replaced.

25 62. Plaintiff Curfman is only allowed out of his cell to shower. He has rarely been
26 permitted to go outside for exercise. The only program he has access to is a Friday visit
27 by a chaplain. Otherwise, he does not have any access to programs at the jail.

28 63. The above examples demonstrate the pain, fear, humiliation and isolation that

1 people with disabilities experience within the Los Angeles County jail system. Indeed,
2 many people with disabilities find the conditions so intolerable that they are willing to
3 agree to a plea bargain, one that they otherwise may not have accepted, simply to leave
4 these conditions.

5 64. Plaintiffs have filed administrative complaints with the Los Angeles County jail
6 and have exhausted procedures for such administrative complaints. Defendants have
7 been on notice about these conditions for a considerable amount of time, and have been
8 specifically on notice about the issues raised in this lawsuit for at least a year.

9 65. Plaintiffs therefore seek injunctive relief requiring Defendants to ensure
10 compliance with the ADA and other laws prohibiting discrimination against individuals
11 with disabilities.

12 CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

13 66. Plaintiffs bring this action individually, and on behalf of all inmates who are or
14 will be held within any and all Los Angeles County Jail facilities, as a class action under
15 Rule 23 of the Federal Rule of Civil Procedure.

16 67. The class consists of all detainees and inmates with physical disabilities and
17 disabling medical conditions who because of those disabilities need appropriate
18 accommodations, modifications, services, and/or physical access in accordance with
19 federal and state disability laws.

20 68. Plaintiffs and the class they represent are informed, believe, and thereon allege
21 that Defendants have failed and continue to fail to comply with the ADA and with the
22 Rehabilitation Act and analogous state statutes.

23 69. Plaintiffs and the class they represent are informed, believe, and thereon allege
24 that Defendants have not adopted and do not enforce appropriate policies and procedures
25 to ensure that LASD is in compliance with these statutes to ensure nondiscrimination
26 against persons with disabilities and equal access to programs, services and activities for
27 persons with disabilities.

28 70. Plaintiffs and the class they represent are informed, believe, and thereon allege

1 that Defendants have failed and continue to fail to provide LASD officers and employees
2 with appropriate training regarding their legal obligations under relevant federal and
3 state statutes.

4 71. The violations of the ADA, the Rehabilitation Act and related federal and
5 California State statutes set forth in detail have injured all members of the proposed class
6 and violated their rights.

7 72. The requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure are met
8 with regard to the proposed class in that:

- 9 a. The class is so numerous that it would be impractical to bring all class
10 members before the Court;
- 11 b. There are questions of law and fact which are common to the class;
- 12 c. The named Plaintiffs' claims for declaratory and injunctive relief are typical
13 of the claims of the class;
- 14 d. The named Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately represent common class
15 interests and are represented by counsel who are extremely experienced in
16 law reform class actions and the disability rights issues in this case;
- 17 e. Defendants have acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to
18 the class;
- 19 f. The questions of law and fact which are common to the class predominate
20 over individual questions; and
- 21 g. A class action is superior to other available means of resolving this
22 controversy.

23 73. The common questions of law and fact, shared by the named Plaintiffs and all
24 class members, include:

- 25 a. Whether Defendants are violating Title II of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. sections
26 12131, *et seq.*, by failing to make their programs, services and activities
27 accessible to and useable by persons with disabilities, and otherwise
28 discriminating against persons with disabilities, as set forth above;

- 1 b. Whether Defendants are violating Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act, 29
2 U.S.C. § 794 *et seq.*, by failing to make their programs, services and
3 activities accessible to and useable by persons with disabilities, and
4 otherwise discriminating against people with disabilities, as set forth above.
5 c. Whether Defendants are violating California Government Code Section
6 11135 (a), which prohibits denial of benefits to persons with disabilities of
7 any program or activity that is funded directly by the state or receives any
8 financial assistance from the state.
9 d. Whether Defendants are violating California Civil Code §51 *et seq.*, by
10 failing to provide full and equal access to people with disabilities.
11 e. Whether Defendants are violating California Civil Code §54 *et seq.*, by
12 failing to provide full and equal access to persons with disabilities.
13 f. Whether Defendants are violating California Government Code §4450, *et*
14 *seq.*, by failing to provide full and equal access to persons with disabilities.
15 g. Whether Defendants are violating the Eighth Amendment to the U.S.
16 Constitution as a result of the conditions of confinement.
17 h. Whether Defendants are violating the Fourteenth Amendment to the U.S.
18 Constitution as a result of the conditions of confinement.

19 74. The class is believed to be so numerous that joinder of all members is
20 impracticable. On information and belief, more than one thousand individuals with
21 physical disabilities or disabling medical conditions are currently detained or confined in
22 the Los Angeles County Jail System. The inmate population within the Los Angeles Jail
23 System changes constantly and therefore not all class members can be specifically
24 identified.

25 75. Plaintiffs contemplate the eventual issuance of notice to the proposed class
26 members that would set forth the subject and nature of the instant action. Defendants'
27 records may be used for assistance in the preparation of such notices. To the extent that
28 any further notices may be required, Plaintiffs contemplate the use of additional media

and/or mailings.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

The Americans with Disabilities Act

42 U.S.C. §12101 *et seq.*

76. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference each and every allegation contained in the foregoing paragraphs.

77. Congress enacted the ADA upon finding, among other things, that "society has tended to isolate and segregate individuals with disabilities" and that such forms of discrimination continue to be a "serious and pervasive social problem." 42 U.S.C. § 12101(a) (2).

78. In response to these findings, Congress explicitly stated that the purpose of the ADA is to provide "a clear and comprehensive national mandate for the elimination of discrimination against individuals with disabilities" and "clear, strong, consistent, enforceable standards addressing discrimination against individuals with disabilities." 42 U.S.C. § 12101(b)(1)-(2).

79. Title II of the ADA provides in pertinent part: "[N]o qualified individual with a disability shall, by reason of such disability, be excluded from participation in or be denied the benefits of the services, programs, or activities of a public entity, or be subjected to discrimination by any such entity." 42 U.S.C. § 12132.

80. The ADA requires public entries to ensure that their programs, services and activities are accessible to and useable by detainees and inmates with disabilities.

81. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were each a "public entity" within the meaning of Title II of the ADA and provided a program, service or activity to the general public.

82. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiffs are qualified individuals within the meaning of Title II of the ADA and met the essential eligibility requirements for the receipt of the services, programs, or activities of the defendants. 42 U.S.C. § 12131.

83. Title II of the ADA prohibits a public entity from discriminating against a

1 qualified individual with a disability on the basis of a disability. 42 U.S.C. § 12132.

2 84. Defendants have excluded plaintiffs from participation in the services, programs
3 and activities of the Los Angeles County Jail facilities, and have denied them the rights and
4 benefits accorded to other inmates, solely by reason of their disabilities in violation of the
5 ADA. In addition, the defendants have violated the ADA by failing or refusing to provide
6 plaintiffs with reasonable accommodations and other services related to their disabilities.

7 See generally 28 C.F.R. § 35.130.

8 85. Defendants are mandated to operate each program, service, or activity "so that,
9 when viewed in its entirety, it is readily accessible to and useable by individuals with
10 disabilities." 28 C.F.R. §§ 35.150; *see also* 28 C.F.R. §§ 35.149 & 35.151. Defendants
11 continue to violate the ADA by maintaining inaccessible facilities that deny people with
12 disabilities access to programs, services and activities.

13 86. Plaintiffs are informed, believe and thereon allege that Defendants and their
14 agents and employees have failed and continue to fail to:

- 15 a. Provide necessary accommodations, modifications, services and/or physical
16 access necessary to enable inmates with disabilities to participate on an equal
17 basis in programs, services and activities.
- 18 b. Develop and enforce procedures for the Los Angeles Sheriff Department to
19 ensure provision of necessary accommodations, modifications, services
20 and/or physical access necessary to enable inmates with disabilities to
21 participate on an equal basis in programs, services and activities.
- 22 c. Train and supervise the jail personnel to provide necessary accommodations,
23 modifications, services and/or physical access necessary to enable inmates
24 with disabilities to participate on an equal basis in programs, services and
25 activities.

26 87. Pursuant to the ADA's implementing regulations, specifically 38 C.F.R.
27 150(d)(1), Defendants were required to develop a written plan setting forth the steps
28 necessary to ensure that the Los Angeles County Jail facilities are in compliance with the

ADA's mandates. On information and belief, Defendants have not prepared a self-evaluation and a transition plan that is compliant with the ADA.

88. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned acts, Plaintiffs have suffered, and continue to suffer humiliation, hardship and anxiety, as well as deteriorating physical conditions, due to Defendants' failures to address accommodations, modifications, services and access required for Plaintiffs' disabilities.

89. Because Defendants' discriminatory conduct is ongoing, declaratory and injunctive relief are appropriate remedies. Moreover, as a result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiffs are suffering irreparable harm, and thus immediate relief is appropriate.

90. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12133, Plaintiffs are entitled to declaratory and injunctive relief as well as reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in bringing this action.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act

29 U.S.C. § 794 *et seq.*

91. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference each and every allegation contained in the foregoing paragraphs.

92. Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 provides in pertinent part: "[N]o otherwise qualified individual with a disability . . . shall, solely by reason of her or his disability, be excluded from the participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving federal financial assistance . . ." 29 U.S.C. § 794.

93. Each Plaintiff is at all times relevant herein a qualified individual with a disability within the meaning of the Rehabilitation Act because they have a physical impairment that substantially limits one or more of their major life activities. 29 U.S.C. § 705(20) (B).

94. Plaintiffs are otherwise qualified to participate in the services, programs, or activities that are provided to inmates at the Los Angeles County Jail facilities. See 29

1 U.S.C. § 794 (b).

2 95. At all times relevant to this action Defendants were recipients of federal funding
3 within the meaning of the Rehabilitation Act. As recipients of federal funds, they are
4 required to reasonably accommodate inmates with disabilities in their facilities, program
5 activities, and services. It further requires the Defendants to modify their facilities,
6 services, and programs as necessary to accomplish this purpose.

7 96. Through their acts and omissions described herein, Defendants have violated
8 the Rehabilitation Act by excluding Plaintiffs from participation in, denying Plaintiffs
9 the benefits of, and subjecting Plaintiffs to discrimination in the benefits and services
10 Defendants provides to the detainees and inmates without disabilities.

11 97. Plaintiffs are informed, believe, and based thereon allege that Defendants
12 committed the acts and omissions alleged herein with intent and/or reckless disregard of
13 the rights of Plaintiffs. It is also alleged that the defendants have violated the Act by
14 providing substandard accommodations or refusing to provide plaintiffs with reasonable
15 accommodations for their disabilities.

16 98. Pursuant to the Rehabilitation Act's implementing regulations, specifically, 28
17 C.F.R. 42.521, the Defendants were required to make any structural changes necessary to
18 render the programs and activities in existing Los Angeles County Jail facilities accessible
19 to persons with disabilities as expeditiously as possible. As part of that process, the
20 Defendants were required to develop a written plan setting forth the steps necessary to
21 complete such changes. Defendants have failed to develop a written plan and failed to
22 abide by the implementing regulations under the Rehabilitation Act.

23 99. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned acts, Plaintiffs suffered
24 and continue to suffer humiliation, hardship, anxiety as well as deteriorating physical
25 conditions due to Defendants failures to address accommodations, modifications,
26 services and access required for their disabilities.

27 100. Because Defendants' discriminatory conduct is ongoing, declaratory and
28 injunctive reliefs are appropriate remedies. Moreover, as a result of Defendants' actions

1 Plaintiffs are suffering irreparable harm, and thus immediate relief is appropriate.

2 101. Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 794(a) Plaintiffs are entitled to declaratory and
3 injunctive relief and to recover from Defendants the reasonable attorneys' fees and costs
4 incurred in bringing this action.

5 **THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

6 **California Government Code § 11135**

7 102. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference each and every allegation contained in the
8 foregoing paragraphs.

9 103. Section 11135(a) of the California Government Code provides in pertinent part:
10 "No person in the State of California shall, on the basis of . . . disability, be unlawfully
11 denied the benefits of, or be unlawfully subjected to discrimination under, any program
12 or activity that is funded directly by the state or receives any financial assistance from the
13 state."

14 104. At all times relevant to this action, LASD was an agency of Defendant County.
15 At all times relevant to this action, the LASD and County, received financial assistance
16 from the State of California.

17 105. Through their acts and omissions described herein, Defendants have violated
18 and continue to violate California Government Code § 11135 by unlawfully denying
19 Plaintiffs the benefits of, and unlawfully subjecting Plaintiffs to discrimination under,
20 Defendants' programs and activities.

21 106. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned acts, Plaintiffs have
22 suffered, and continue to suffer humiliation, hardship and anxiety, as well as
23 deteriorating physical conditions, due to Defendants' failures to address
24 accommodations, modifications, services and access required for Plaintiffs' disabilities.

25 107. Because Defendants' discriminatory conduct is ongoing, declaratory and
26 injunctive relief are appropriate remedies. Moreover, as a result of Defendants' actions
27 Plaintiffs are suffering irreparable harm, and thus immediate relief is appropriate.
28 Plaintiffs are also entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees and costs in filing this action.

1 **FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

2 **Unruh Civil Rights Act**

3 **California Civil Code § 51 *et seq.***

4 108. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference each and every allegation contained in the
5 foregoing paragraphs.

6 109. Through the acts and omissions described herein, Defendants have violated
7 California Civil Code § 51(b) which provides in pertinent part that "All persons within
8 the jurisdiction of this state are free and equal, and no matter what their...disability or
9 medical condition are entitled to the full and equal accommodations, advantages,
10 facilities, privileges, or services in all business establishments of every kind
11 whatsoever."

12 110. Pursuant to California Civil Code § 51(f), a violation of the ADA also
13 constitutes a violation of California Civil Code §51 *et seq.*

14 111. The Los Angeles County Jail system is a "business establishment" within the
15 meaning of California Civil Code §51 *et seq.*

16 112. Through the acts and omissions described herein, Defendants are violating
17 California Civil Code § 51 *et seq.* by denying Plaintiffs full and equal access to its
18 program comparable to the access that it offers to others.

19 113. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned acts, Plaintiffs have
20 suffered, and continue to suffer, humiliation, hardship and anxiety, as well as
21 deteriorating physical conditions, due to Defendants' failures to address
22 accommodations, modifications, services and access required for Plaintiffs' disabilities.

23 114. Because Defendants' discriminatory conduct is ongoing, declaratory and
24 injunctive reliefs are appropriate remedies. Moreover, as a result of Defendants' actions
25 Plaintiffs are suffering irreparable harm, and thus immediate relief is appropriate.
26 Plaintiffs are also entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees and costs in filing this action.

27 / / /

28 / / /

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Blind and Other Physically Disabled Persons Act

California Civil Code § 54 *et seq.*

115. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference each and every allegation contained in the foregoing paragraphs.

116. Through the acts and omissions described herein, Defendants are violating California Civil Code § 54 which states that “[i]ndividuals with disabilities or medical conditions have the same rights as the general public to the full and free use of public services.”

117. Under California Civil Code § 54(c), a violation of the ADA also constitutes a violation of California Civil Code §§ 54 *et seq.*

118. Plaintiffs are persons with disabilities within the meaning of California Civil Code § 54(b) (1) and California Government Code § 12926.

119. The County and the LASD provide public services, within the meaning of California Civil Code §§ 54 *et seq.*

120. By failing to provide accommodations, modifications, services and physical access to the detainees and inmates with disabilities, Defendants are violating California Civil Code § 54, by denying detainees and inmates full access to the jail programs, services and activities.

121. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned acts, Plaintiffs have suffered, and continue to suffer, humiliation, hardship and anxiety, as well as deteriorating physical conditions, due to Defendants' failures to address accommodations, modifications, services and access required for Plaintiffs' disabilities.

122. Because Defendants' discriminatory conduct is ongoing, declaratory and injunctive reliefs are appropriate remedies. Moreover, as a result of Defendants' actions Plaintiffs are suffering irreparable harm, and thus immediate relief is appropriate. Plaintiffs are also entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees and costs in filing this action.

SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

California Government Code § 4450, et seq.

123. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference each and every allegation contained in the foregoing paragraphs.

124. Defendants' facilities are publicly funded and intended for use by the public within the meaning of California Government Code § 4450, *et seq.* Defendants have constructed, altered, installed, maintained and/or operated its facilities in violation of disability access requirements under California Government Code § 4450, *et seq.*, and regulations implemented pursuant thereto. The aforementioned acts and omissions of the Defendants constitute a denial of equal access to and use of Defendants' facilities.

125. Defendants' failure to provide full and equal access to their facilities has caused Plaintiffs to suffer deprivation of their civil rights.

126. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned acts, Plaintiffs have suffered, and continue to suffer, humiliation, hardship and anxiety, as well as deteriorating physical conditions, due to Defendants' failures to address accommodations, modifications, services and access required for Plaintiffs' disabilities.

127. Because Defendants' discriminatory conduct is ongoing, declaratory and injunctive reliefs are appropriate remedies. Moreover, as a result of Defendants' actions Plaintiffs are suffering irreparable harm, and thus immediate relief is appropriate. Plaintiffs are also entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees and costs in filing this action.

SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Eighth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution

42 U.S.C. § 1983

128. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference each and every allegation contained in the foregoing paragraphs.

129. 42 U.S.C. § 1983 provides a remedy for constitutional violations and violations of federal statutes, where the violations are committed under color of State law.

130. Defendants are also liable for its failure to train its employees, creating § 1983

1 liability where the failure to train amounts to deliberate indifference to the rights of
2 inmates with whom those employees are likely to come into contact.

3 131. The Defendants have acted in reckless disregard for the serious needs of the
4 inmates, constituting willful violations of the Eighth Amendment to the Constitution of the
5 United States, by imposing cruel and unusual punishments on the inmates.

6 132. All Defendants have engaged in discriminatory acts and practices constituting
7 willful violations of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and the Americans with Disabilities
8 Act.

9 133. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned acts, Plaintiffs have
10 suffered, and continue to suffer humiliation, hardship and anxiety, as well as
11 deteriorating physical conditions, due to Defendants' failures to address
12 accommodations, modifications, services and access required for Plaintiffs' disabilities.

13 134. Because Defendants' discriminatory conduct is ongoing, declaratory and
14 injunctive relief are appropriate remedies. Moreover, as a result of Defendants' actions
15 Plaintiffs are suffering irreparable harm, and thus immediate relief is appropriate.
16 Plaintiffs are also entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees and costs in filing this action.

17 **EIGHTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

18 **Fourteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution**

19 **42 U.S.C. § 1983**

20 135. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference each and every allegation contained in the
21 foregoing paragraphs.

22 136. Detainees' rights arise under the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth
23 Amendment.

24 137. The Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment mandates that
25 Defendants shall not deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the
26 laws.

27 138. Defendants' failure to provide for sufficient training regarding detainees with
28 disabilities, as well as its failure to implement other procedures to safeguard the disability

1 rights of the detainees, amounts to deliberate indifference to their rights.

2 139. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned acts, Plaintiffs have
3 suffered, and continue to suffer humiliation, hardship and anxiety, as well as
4 deteriorating physical conditions due to Defendants' failures to address accommodations,
5 modifications, services and access required for Plaintiffs' disabilities.

6 140. Because Defendants' discriminatory conduct is ongoing, declaratory and
7 injunctive reliefs are appropriate remedies. Moreover, as a result of Defendants' actions
8 Plaintiffs are suffering irreparable harm, and thus immediate relief is appropriate.
9 Plaintiffs are also entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees and costs in filing this action.

10 / / /

11 / / /

12 / / /

13 / / /

14 / / /

15 / / /

16 / / /

17 / / /

18 / / /

19 / / /

20 / / /

21 / / /

22 / / /

23 / / /

24 / / /

25 / / /

26 / / /

27 / / /

28 / / /

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs request judgment as follows:

1. A declaration that Defendants' conduct as alleged herein has violated, and continues to violate, Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act; Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973; California Government Code §§ 11135 and 4450; California Civil Code §§ 51 and 54; and the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments to the U.S. Constitution;
 2. Preliminarily and permanently enjoin Defendants from violating the Americans with Disabilities Act; Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973; California Government Code §§ 11135 and 4450; California Civil Code §§ 51 and 54; and the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments to the U.S. Constitution;
 3. Retain jurisdiction of this case until Defendants have fully complied with the orders of this Court, and there is a reasonable assurance that Defendants will continue to comply in the future, absent continuing jurisdiction;
 4. Award Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees and costs, as provided by statute; and
 5. Such other relief as the Court finds just and proper.

DATED: May 29, 2008

Respectfully Submitted,

DISABILITY RIGHTS LEGAL CENTER

ACLU FOUNDATION OF SOUTHERN

CALIFORNIA

HADSELL, STORMER, KEENY, RICHARDSON

& RENICK LLP

HELLER EHRLICH LLP

By: Shawna Parks / HCE
Shawna L. Parks
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge Philip S. Gutierrez and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Jennifer T. Lum.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

CV08- 3515 PSG (JTLx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

All discovery related motions should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge

NOTICE TO COUNSEL

A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

Western Division
312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Southern Division
411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053
Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516

Eastern Division
3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134
Riverside, CA 92501

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

Paula J. Pearlman, Shawna L. Parks, Tiffany A.
Green
DISABILITY RIGHTS LEGAL CENTER
919 Albany Street
Los Angeles, CA 90015
Telephone: 213-736-1031 Additional attorneys listed on
Attachment to Summons

ORIGINAL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PETER JOHNSON, DONALD PETERSON, and MICHAEL CURFMAN,
on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated

PLAINTIFF(S)

CASE NUMBER

CV08-03515 FEB 1 2008

v.
LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, a public entity; LEROY BACA, as Sheriff of the County of Los Angeles, and COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, a public entity, MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH, YVONNE B. BURKE, DON KNABE, GLORIA MOLINA, ZEV YAROSLAVSKY, as Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles

DEFENDANT(S).

SUMMONS

TO: DEFENDANT(S): MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH, YVONNE B. BURKE, DON KNABE, GLORIA MOLINA, ZEV YAROSLAVSKY, as Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 20 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it), you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint amended complaint counterclaim cross-claim or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, DISABILITY RIGHTS LEGAL CENTER, whose address is 919 Albany Street, Los Angeles, CA 90015. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

Clerk, U.S. District Court

Dated: MAY 29 2008

By: Natalie Yonayoria
Deputy Clerk

(Seal of the Court)

[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3).]

ATTACHMENT TO SUMMONS

Mark Rosenbaum (State Bar No. 59940)
mrosenbaum@aclu-sc.org
Melinda Bird (State Bar No. 102236)
mbird@aclu-sc.org
ACLU FOUNDATION OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
1616 Beverly Boulevard
Los Angeles, California 90026
Tel: (213) 977-9500 Fax: (213) 250-3980

Dan Stormer (State Bar No. 101967)
dstormer@hskrr.com
C. Virginia Keeny (State Bar No. 139568)
vkeeny@hskrr.com
Lauren Teukolsky (State Bar No. 211381)
lauren@hskrr.com
Cornelia Dai (State Bar No. 207435)
cdai@hskrr.com
Hadsell, Stormer, Keeny, Richardson & Renick, LLP
128 N. Fair Oaks Avenue, Suite 204
Pasadena, California 91103
Tel: (626) 585-9600; Fax: (626) 577-7079

John C. Ulin (State Bar No. 165524)
john.ulin@hellerehrman.com
Helen Cho Eckert (State Bar No. 240531)
helen.eckert@hellerehrman.com
Amanda N. Walker (State Bar No. 252380)
amanda.walker@hellerehrman.com
HELLER EHRLMAN LLP
333 South Hope Street
39th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071-1406
Tel: (213) 689-0200
Fax: (213) 614-1868

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
CIVIL COVER SHEET

1 (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself <input type="checkbox"/>) PETER JOHNSON, DONALD PETERSON, and MICHAEL CURFMAN, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated	DEFENDANTS LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, a public entity; LEROY BACA, as Sheriff of the County of Los Angeles, and COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, a public entity; MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH, YVONNE B. BURKE, DON KNABE, GLORIA MOLINA, ZEV YAROSLAVSKY, as Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (In U.S. Plaintiff Cases Only): LOS ANGELES
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (Except in U.S. Plaintiff Cases): LOS ANGELES	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number. If you are representing yourself, provide same.) Paula D. Pearlman, Shawna L. Parks, Tiffany A. Green DISABILITY RIGHTS LEGAL CENTER, 919 Albany Street Los Angeles, CA 90015 Telephone: 213-736 1031 Additional attorneys listed on Attachment to Civil Cover Sheet	
Attorneys (If Known) Paul Beach Lawrence Beach Allen & Choi PC 100 West Broadway, Suite 1200 Glendale, CA 91210-1219	
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an X in one box only.)	
<input type="checkbox"/> 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	
<input type="checkbox"/> 2 U.S. Government Defendant <input type="checkbox"/> 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	
III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - For Diversity Cases Only (Place an X in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant.)	
Citizen of This State <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 Incorporated or Principal Place of Business in this State <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 4	
Citizen of Another State <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 Incorporated and Principal Place of Business in Another State <input type="checkbox"/> 5 <input type="checkbox"/> 5	
Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 Foreign Nation <input type="checkbox"/> 6 <input type="checkbox"/> 6	

IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one box only.)

1 Original 2 Removed from State Court 3 Remanded from Appellate Court 4 Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transferred from another district (specify): 6 Multi-District Litigation 7 Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judge

V. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: JURY DEMAND: Yes No (Check 'Yes' only if demanded in complaint.)CLASS ACTION under F.R.C.P. 23: Yes No**MONEY DEMANDED IN COMPLAINT:** \$ _____

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and write a brief statement of cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.)
 42 U.S.C. Section 12131 et seq; 29 U.S.C. Section 794 et seq; Cal. Govt. Code Section 11135, et seq; Cal. Civ. Code Sections 51 and 54 et seq; Cal. Govt. Code Section 4450 et seq; 42 U.S.C. Section 1983. Unlawful discrimination on basis of disability.

VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an X in one box only.)

STATE STATUTES <input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment <input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust <input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking <input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. <input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation <input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer influenced and Corrupt Organizations <input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit <input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV <input type="checkbox"/> 810 Selective Service <input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities Exchange <input type="checkbox"/> 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 <input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions <input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Act <input type="checkbox"/> 892 Economic Stabilization Act <input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters <input type="checkbox"/> 894 Energy Allocation Act <input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Info. Act <input type="checkbox"/> 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice <input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	FEDERAL STATUTES <input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act <input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument <input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act <input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (Excl. Veterans) <input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits <input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits <input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract <input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise <input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation <input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment <input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land <input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property	TORTS PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane <input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander <input type="checkbox"/> 330 Fed. Employers' Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury <input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury-Med Malpractice <input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury-Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability	PROPERTY PERSONAL PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending <input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 <input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	LITIGATIONS MOTIONS <input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus <input type="checkbox"/> 530 General <input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty <input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus/Other <input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition	LITIGATIONS AGRICULTURE <input type="checkbox"/> 610 Agriculture <input type="checkbox"/> 620 Other Food & Drug <input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 <input type="checkbox"/> 630 Liquor Laws <input type="checkbox"/> 640 R.R. & Truck <input type="checkbox"/> 650 Airline Regs <input type="checkbox"/> 660 Occupational Safety /Health <input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other	<input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act <input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act <input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act <input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation <input type="checkbox"/> 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act <input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights <input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent <input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark <input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395ff) <input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923) <input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) <input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI <input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g)) <input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) <input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS-Third Party 26 USC 7609
---	--	--	---	--	--	---

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Has this action been previously filed and dismissed, remanded or closed? No Yes

If yes, list case number(s): _____

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number: _____

CIVIL COVER SHEET

CV-71 (07/05)

Page 1 of 2

CV08-03515

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
CIVIL COVER SHEET**

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Have any cases been previously filed that are related to the present case? No Yes

If yes, list case number(s): CV 75-04111 DDP

Civil cases are deemed related if a previously filed case and the present case:

- (Check all boxes that apply)
- A. Arise from the same or closely related transactions, happenings, or events; or
 - B. Call for determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact; or
 - C. For other reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; or
 - D. Involve the same patent, trademark or copyright, and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.

IX. VENUE: List the California County, or State if other than California, in which EACH named plaintiff resides (Use an additional sheet if necessary)

Check here if the U.S. government, its agencies or employees is a named plaintiff.

Peter Johnson - Los Angeles County

Donald Peterson - Los Angeles County

Michael Curtman - Los Angeles County

List the California County, or State if other than California, in which EACH named defendant resides. (Use an additional sheet if necessary).

Check here if the U.S. government, its agencies or employees is a named defendant.

Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department - Los Angeles County; Leroy Baca - Los Angeles County; County of Los Angeles - Los Angeles County;

Michael D. Antonovich - Los Angeles County; Yvonne B. Burke - Los Angeles County; Don Knabe - Los Angeles County; Gloria Molina - Los

Angeles County; Zev Yaroslavsky - Los Angeles County

List the California County, or State if other than California, in which EACH claim arose. (Use an additional sheet if necessary)

Note: In land condemnation cases, use the location of the tract of land involved.

LOS ANGELES COUNTY

X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PRO PER): get

Date

May 23, 2008

Notice to Counsel/Parties: The CV-71 (JS-44) Civil Cover Sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed but is used by the Clerk of the Court for the purpose of statistics, venue and initiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)

Key to Statistical codes relating to Social Security Cases:

Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of Cause of Action
861	HIA	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))

